

**Organizational Matters**

Presenter: Max Mustermann  
 Presentation length: \_\_ Minuten  
 Presentation topic (Number): Topic (20)

**Grading**

Criterion	Very poor	Scoring					Excellent
		1	2	3	4	5	
<b>1. Presentation &amp; Delivery (20 Points)</b>							
Presentation style	Read	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Free
Audience Engagement	Turning away	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Turning toward
Time Management	Strongly off	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	On time
Language & Expression	Imprecise	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Precise
<b>2. Clarity of Presentation (20 Points)</b>							
Structure & Coherence	Unstructured	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clear structure
Clarity of Complex Content	Unclear	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clear
Use of Examples/Illustrations	Ineffective	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Effective
Slide Design & Readability	Overloaded	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well-structured
<b>3. Understanding of the Paper (25 Points)</b>							
Problem & Motivation	Incorrect	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accurate
Explanation of Method	Unclear	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clear
Presentation of Results	Incorrect	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accurate
Balance of Paper Content	Unbalanced	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well-balanced
Interpretation of Figures	Ineffective	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Effective
<b>4. Scientific Context &amp; Reflection (20 Points)</b>							
Critical Reflection	Superficial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	In-depth
Contextualization within Research Field	Missing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Well-integrated
Use of Literature & Sources	Incorrect	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exemplary
Answers to Questions	Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Excellent
$\Sigma$		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Additional Comments**

---



---



---



---

## Grading Scale

Points	Percentage	Grade
81–85	95.0–100 %	1.0
77–80	90.0–94.9 %	1.3
72–76	85.0–89.9 %	1.7
68–71	80.0–84.9 %	2.0
64–67	75.0–79.9 %	2.3
60–63	70.0–74.9 %	2.7
55–59	65.0–69.9 %	3.0
51–54	60.0–64.9 %	3.3
47–50	55.0–59.9 %	3.7
43–46	50.0–54.9 %	4.0
0–42	0–49.9 %	5.0

## Explanation of Evaluation Criteria

### 1. Presentation & Delivery

- Presentation Style: Preferably free speaking rather than reading; confident and structured delivery.
- Audience Engagement: Eye contact, interaction, and clear addressing of the audience.
- Time Management: Adherence to the allotted time without significant overrun or underrun.
- Language & Expression: Clear, precise, and technically correct language.

### 2. Clarity of Presentation

- Structure & Coherence: Logical structure with clearly identifiable transitions.
- Clarity of Complex Content: Difficult concepts are explained in an understandable way.
- Use of Examples: Examples help illustrate and clarify the content.
- Slide Design & Readability: Slides are well-structured and easy to read.

### 3. Understanding of the Paper

- Problem & Motivation: Why was the paper written? What problem does it address?
- Explanation of Method: Key approaches and concepts are explained correctly and clearly.
- Presentation of Results: Main findings are presented and interpreted correctly.
- Balance of Paper Content: Appropriate weighting of introduction, method, results, etc.
- Interpretation of Figures: Visualizations are explained, not just shown.

### 4. Scientific Context & Reflection

- Critical Reflection: Strengths, weaknesses, and limitations are discussed.
- Contextualization within Research Field: The paper is related to other work or the broader research field.
- Use of Literature & Sources: Correct and meaningful use of scientific sources.
- Answers to Questions: Quality and correctness of responses to questions.